Table 4. *Bond angles* (°)

C(4) - N(1) - C(1)	112.6 (1)	C(2)-C(1)-N(1)	107.0 (2)
O(11) - C(1) - N(1)	127.1(2)	O(11) - C(1) - C(2)	125.9 (2)
C(3) - C(2) - C(1)	108.5 (2)	C(21)-C(2)-C(1)	121.0(2)
C(21) - C(2) - C(3)	130.5(2)	C(4) - C(3) - C(2)	110.2 (2)
C(31) - C(3) - C(2)	127.8 (2)	C(31) - C(3) - C(4)	122.0 (2)
C(3) - C(4) - N(1)	101.7 (1)	C(5)-C(4)-N(1)	112.9 (1)
C(5) - C(4) - C(3)	111.9(2)	C(6) - C(5) - C(4)	116.9 (2)
C(9) - N(6) - C(6)	109.4 (1)	N(6) - C(6) - C(5)	123.2 (2)
C(7) - C(6) - C(5)	128.3 (2)	C(7) - C(6) - N(6)	$108 \cdot 2(2)$
C(8) - C(7) - C(6)	107.4 (2)	C(71)-C(7)-C(6)	126.3(2)
C(71) - C(7) - C(8)	126.3 (2)	C(9)-C(8)-C(7)	$107 \cdot 1(2)$
C(81) - C(8) - C(7)	125.4 (2)	C(81)-C(8)-C(9)	127.4(2)
C(8) - C(9) - N(6)	108.0 (2)	C(91)-C(9)-N(6)	119.0 (2)
C(91)-C(9)-C(8)	133.0 (2)	C(32)-C(31)-C(3)	113.1 (2)
C(82) - C(81) - C(8)	110.8 (2)	C(83)-C(82)-C(81)	112.8 (2)
O(83) - C(83) - C(82)	125.1 (2)	O(84)-C(83)-C(82)	112.8 (2)
O(84)-C(83)-O(83)	122.0 (2)	C(85) - O(84) - C(83)	116-4 (2)
O(91) - C(91) - C(9)	111.8 (2)	O(92) - C(91) - C(9)	124.9 (2)
O(92)-C(91)-O(91)	123.3 (2)	C(93) - O(91) - C(91)	121.4 (1)
C(94) - C(93) - O(91)	101.4 (2)	C(95)-C(93)-O(91)	109.9 (2)
C(95)-C(93)-C(94)	112.2 (3)	C(96)-C(93)-O(91)	110.5 (2)
C(96)-C(93)-C(94)	110.0 (2)	C(69) - C(93) - C(95)	112.2 (2)

The interplanar angle in (1) is 99.6° . This is similar to those of 98 and 107° between the methylene-bridged ring systems in bilirubin (Bonnett, Davies & Hursthouse, 1976) and in a biladiene-*ac* dihydrobromide derivative (Struckmeier, Thewalt & Engel, 1976) respectively. An angle of 71.9° has been observed for a model 2,2'-methylenedipyrrole (Bonnett, Hursthouse & Neidle, 1972). In bilirubin six intramolecular N-H···O hydrogen bonds stabilize this arrangement. In (1) the molecules are linked together through linear intermolecular N(1)-H(11)···O(92) and N(6)-H(61)···O(11) hydrogen bonds of 2·86 and 2·85 Å respectively. The C(91)-O(92) carbonyl group is *syn* to the N(6)-H(61) vector. Surprisingly it is twisted somewhat out of the plane of the pyrrole ring [C(91) 0·039, O(92) 0·235, O(91) -0·192 Å from this plane], presumably as a result of steric contacts between O(91) and C(81) and C(82) [O(91)···C(81) 2·95, O(91)···C(82) 3·34 Å].

We are indebted to Frau A. Borkenstein for technical assistance.

References

- BONNETT, R., DAVIES, J. E. & HURSTHOUSE, M. B. (1976). Nature, Lond. 262, 326-328.
- BONNETT, R., HURSTHOUSE, M. B. & NEIDLE, S. (1972). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II, pp. 1335–1340.
- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891–1898.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 104-109.
- GOSSAUER, A. & KÜHNE, G. (1977). *Liebigs Ann*. In the press.
- PETRYKA, Z. J., WEIMER, M., LIGHTNER, D. A., CHEDEKEL, M., BOVEY, F. A., MOSCOWITZ, A. & WATSON, C. J. (1975). Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 244, 521-531.
- STRUCKMEIER, G., THEWALT, U. & ENGEL, J. (1976). Chem. Commun. pp. 963–964.

Acta Cryst. (1977). B33, 2671–2674

4-Methylheptane-3,5-dionato(2-)-C²,C⁶-tellurium(II)*

By JOHN C. DEWAN[†] AND JACK SILVER[‡]

Chemistry Department, University of Birmingham, PO Box 363, Birmingham B15 2TT, England

(Received 22 March 1977; accepted 12 April 1977)

Abstract. $C_8H_{12}O_2$ Te, monoclinic, $P2_1$, a = 8.80 (1), b = 4.92 (1), c = 11.04 (2) Å, $\beta = 93.3$ (1)°, $M_r = 267.8$, U = 477.2 Å³, Z = 2, $D_m = 1.87$, $D_c = 1.86$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 256. Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å; $\mu = 28.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The structure was solved by the heavyatom method from 789 two-circle diffractometer reflexions and refined by full-matrix least squares to *R* 0.048. In the molecules of the compound each Te¹¹ atom is bonded to one bivalent 'bidentate chelate ligand' via the C² and C⁶ carbon atoms [Te-C 2.18 (1) Å; C-Te-C 89.3 (6)°] forming a heterocyclic ring of chair conformation with the three methyl substituents all in equatorial positions.

Introduction. We have previously reported the crystal structures of compounds A, B, C, and D.

^{*} Studies on Tellurium-Carbon Bonded Compounds. IV. Part III: Dewan & Silver (1977c).

[†] Present address: Chemistry Department, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA.

[‡] Present address: Chemistry Department, Faculty of Natural Sciences, The University of The West Indies, St Augustine, Trinidad, West Indies.



(A): $R = R' = H$; $R'' = R''' = CH_3$	(Dewan & Silver, 1976, 1977 <i>a</i>)
(B): $R = R' = CH_1$; $R'' = R''' = H$	(Dewan & Silver, 1977b)
(C): $R = R' = R'' = R''' = H$	(Dewan & Silver, 1977c)
(D): $R = R'' = CH_1$; $R' = R''' = H$	(Dewan & Silver, 1977d)
(E): $R = R' = R'' = CH_1$; $R''' = H$	(This study)

Crystals of the present compound (E) were obtained from the museum in the Chemistry Department of this University and were prepared by Morgan & Drew (1925). (E) is isomorphous and pseudo-isostructural with the previously determined (B) (Dewan & Silver, 1977b), and the details of their data collection and structure determination are the same, except that for (E) anisotropic thermal parameters were only refined

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates ($\times 10^{5}$ for Te, $\times 10^{4}$ for C and O, $\times 10^{3}$ for H) and thermal parameters, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

U was fixed at 0.05 Å² for all H atoms.

				U
	x	у	z	$(Å^{2} \times 10^{3})$
Te	13908 (9)	0*	10870 (8)	‡
C(1)	-25 (12)	29 (71)	2647 (10)	41 (3)
C(2)	950 (14)	-1747 (33)	3611 (11)	34 (3)
C(3)	2362 (11)	-161 (53)	4144 (9)	32 (3)
C(4)	3538 (10)	43 (50)	3175 (8)	29 (2)
C(5)	3200 (15)	1969 (33)	2190 (12)	41 (3)
C(6)	4526 (16)	2626 (41)	1437 (15)	55 (4)
C(7)	-1518 (17)	-1680 (41)	2287 (14)	53 (4)
C(8)	3029 (15)	-1714 (36)	5304 (12)	40 (3)
O(1)	627 (11)	-4079 (22)	3924 (9)	57 (3)
O(2)	4689 (10)	-1453 (23)	3226 (8)	42 (2)
H(11)*	-8	216	299	
H(32)*	134	147	416	
H(51)*	231	368	260	
H(61)†	495 (2)	83 (4)	101 (2)	
H(62)†	544 (2)	357 (4)	198 (2)	
H(63)†	410 (2)	404 (4)	75 (2)	
H(71)†	-201 (2)	-69 (4)	148 (1)	
H(72)†	-228(2)	-147 (4)	301 (1)	
H(73)†	-135(2)	-381(4)	210 (1)	
H(81)†	221 (2)	-178(4)	600 (1)	
H(82)†	407 (2)	-77 (4)	567 (1)	
H(83)+	327 (2)	-376 (4)	501 (1)	

* Parameters not refined.

† Refined as rigid groups pivoting on C(6), C(7), and C(8).

[‡] Anisotropic thermal parameters (Å² × 10⁴) for Te are in the form: exp $[-2\pi^2(U_{11}h^2a^{*2} + \cdots + 2U_{23}klb^*c^* + \cdots)]$.

$$U_{11} \qquad U_{22} \qquad U_{33} \qquad U_{23} \qquad U_{13} \qquad U_{12}$$

Te 492 (5) 486 (6) 472 (5) 165 (10) -76 (3) -75 (11)

for the Te atom. The weighting scheme employed in the final stages of refinement was $w = [\sigma^2(F_o) + (0.02|F_o|)^2]^{-1}$, the final *R* being 0.048 and *R'* $\{= [\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w|F_o|^2]^{1/2}\}$ 0.053 for the preferred hand.* Parameters determined are listed in Tables 1–3; Fig. 1 shows a projection of the unit cell.

* A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 32617 (6 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 INZ, England.

Table 2. Interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°) with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

Values for rigid groups are not listed.

$Te \cdots Te^{i}$ Te-C(1) Te-C(5) C(1)-C(2) C(1)-C(7) C(1)-H(11) C(2)-C(3) C(2)-O(1) C(3)-C(4)	4.138 (7) 2.18 (1) 2.18 (1) 1.59 (3) 1.59 (3) 1.12 1.55 (2) 1.24 (2) 1.53 (1)	$C(3)-H(32) C(4)-C(5) C(4)-O(2) C(5)-C(6) C(5)-H(51) C(2)\cdotsO(1^{1i}) C(8)\cdotsO(2^{1ii}) C(3)\cdotsO(1^{1v}) C(1)\cdotsO(1^{1v}) C(1)\cdotsO(1^{1v}) C(1)\cdotsO(1^{1v}) C(1)\cdotsO(1^{1v}) C(1) $	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \cdot 21 \\ 1 \cdot 46 \\ (2) \\ 1 \cdot 25 \\ (1) \\ 1 \cdot 51 \\ (2) \\ 1 \cdot 25 \\ 3 \cdot 39 \\ (2) \\ 3 \cdot 42 \\ (2) \\ 3 \cdot 36 \\ (3) \\ 3 \cdot 26 \\ (3) \end{array}$
C(3)-C(8)	1.58 (2)	$H(11) \cdots O(1^{w})$	2.19
$\begin{array}{l} Te^{i}-Te-Te^{v}\\ C(1)-Te-C(5)\\ Te-C(1)-C(2)\\ Te-C(1)-C(7)\\ Te-C(1)-H(11)\\ C(2)-C(1)-H(11)\\ C(7)-C(1)-H(11)\\ C(7)-C(1)-H(11)\\ C(1)-C(2)-C(3)\\ C(1)-C(2)-O(1)\\ C(3)-C(2)-O(1)\\ C(2)-C(3)-C(4)\\ C(2)-C(3)-C(8) \end{array}$	72.9 (1) 89.3 (6) 102.4 (9) 107 (1) 108 106 (2) 109 122 112 (2) 125 (1) 124 (1) 109 (1) 108 (2)	$\begin{array}{c} C(2)-C(3)-H(32)\\ C(4)-C(3)-C(8)\\ C(4)-C(3)-H(32)\\ C(8)-C(3)-H(32)\\ C(3)-C(4)-C(5)\\ C(3)-C(4)-O(2)\\ C(5)-C(4)-O(2)\\ C(4)-C(5)-Te\\ C(4)-C(5)-Te\\ C(4)-C(5)-H(51)\\ C(6)-C(5)-Te\\ C(6)-C(5)-H(51)\\ Te-C(5)-H(51)\\ \end{array}$	76 111 (1) 120 124 116 (1) 120 (1) 123 (1) 103.8 (9) 115 (1) 106 111 (1) 125 92
Symmetry code			
(i) $-x, \frac{1}{2} + y, -z$ (ii) $-x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 1$ (iii) $1 - x, -\frac{1}{2} + z$	-z = y, 1 - z	(iv) $x, 1 + \frac{1}{2}$ (v) $-x, -\frac{1}{2}$	y, z + y, −z

Table 3. Deviations (Å) of atoms from the least-squaresplane through C(1), C(2), C(4), C(5)

The equation of the plane is $-1 \cdot 12x + 3 \cdot 57y + 7 \cdot 54z = 2 \cdot 00$, where x, y, z are the fractional coordinates of the defining atoms in the direct cell.

Те	-1.34	C(7)	-0.71
Ċ(1)	0.01	C(8)	1.05
C(2)	-0.01	O(1)	-0.57
C(3)	0.80	O(2)	-0.61
C(4)	0.01	H(11)	1.03
C(5)	-0.01	H(32)	1.51
C(6)	-0.49	H(51)	1.01

Discussion. The structure consists of molecules of the compound arranged in a zigzag polymeric manner about the 2_1 axes at x = 0 and z = 0 (Fig. 1). This structure is isomorphous and pseudo-isostructural with (B) (Dewan & Silver, 1977b), the only difference between the molecules being the substitution of H(31) in (B) for an equatorial methyl group [C(8), H(81)–H(83)] on C(3); the atomic-numbering scheme used here is thus the same as for (B), apart from this difference.

The mode of attachment displayed by the β -diketone in the present structure, and in those of (A)-(D), represents a deviation from the usual modes of attachment of pentane-2,4-dione, and its derivatives, where bonding usually occurs through the O atoms or central C atom (Dewan & Silver, 1977d). The Te atom has a formal oxidation state of +2 and the 'ligand' is therefore bivalent. The Te^{II} atom bonds to C(1) and C(5) at distances of 2.18 (1) Å and these distances are the same, within experimental error, as those found in (A)-(D). The C-O carbonyl distances [1.24 (2) and 1.25(1) Å are typical of a ketonic carbonyl. The sixmembered heterocyclic ring of the compound has the chair conformation (Table 3), and again this is in agreement with (A)-(D). The three methyl substituents on the ring are all in equatorial positions.

The closest intermolecular non-hydrogen atom contacts of the structure are given in Table 2. That involving the carbonyl C(2) $[C(2)\cdots O(1^{ii}) 3.39(2) \text{ Å}]$



Fig. 1. Projection of the structure down **a***. The dashed line shows the zigzag arrangement of associated Te atoms.

must represent a non-bonding contact, while $C(1)\cdots O(1^{i\nu})$, $C(3)\cdots O(1^{i\nu})$, and $C(8)\cdots O(2^{iii})$ [3.26 (3), 3.36 (3) and 3.42 (2) Å] are all rather long to be considered hydrogen-bonding interactions (Hamilton & Ibers, 1968).

Donaldson, Laughlin, Ross & Silver (1973), Donaldson & Silver (1974, 1976), and Donaldson, Silver, Hadjiminolis & Ross (1975) have suggested that the colours of *p*-block materials can be explained in terms of the donation of non-bonding valence electron density into bands formed by the overlap of empty *p* or *d* orbitals on the ligands or on the electron-donating atoms themselves. If the sp^2 -hybridization scheme is used for the Te atom (Dewan & Silver, 1977*d*), the *p* orbital on each Te¹¹ atom will lie approximately along **b**, and these are then aligned (together with empty Te *5d* orbitals) so that a weak overall bonding orbital is then possible between them. These weak associations could then account for the bright yellow colour of the compound.

In the present structure, the Te···Te distance $[4\cdot138\ (7)\ Å]$ is similar to those in $(B)-(D)\ (4\cdot068$ and $3\cdot95,\ 3\cdot97,\ 4\cdot18$ and $4\cdot042\ Å$) and is shorter than in $(A)\ (5\cdot05\ Å)$. The colours of (B)-(E) are a stronger yellow than that of (A). More evidence for electron density in the Te···Te associations comes from the larger C-Te-C angle in this compound $(89\cdot3^\circ)$ and in $(B)-(D)\ (89\cdot7,\ 90\cdot8$ and $88\cdot4^\circ)$ compared with that of $(A)\ (86\cdot4^\circ)$, which has weaker associations, showing that lone-pair repulsion is reduced in (B)-(E), which indicates less electron density remaining in the lone pairs.

The present structure (E) and structure B are isomorphous in that the space group of each is $P2_1$ and the cell dimensions are very similar [for (B): a = $8.69(1), b = 4.86(1), c = 10.14(2) \text{ Å}, \beta = 98.7(1)^{\circ},$ $U = 423 \cdot 3$ Å³]. The molecule in (E) has an extra methyl group on C(3), which is in an equatorial position, but apart from this (B) and (E) are isostructural. As could be expected with the extra methyl group, the volume of the unit cell for (E) is larger than that for (B). All cell dimensions for (E) are larger than in (B), except for the β angle, and the most notable change in the cell lengths is in c, which is greater in (E)by 0.9 Å. The differences between a and b for each structure are 0.11 and 0.06 Å respectively. The fact that the main change in the cell dimensions between the two structures is in the *c* direction is significant and is interpreted as showing that the packing of both (B) and (E) is controlled by the Te \cdots Te associations along **b**. The effect of introducing an equatorial methyl group onto C(3) can be seen in Fig. 1 as merely serving to force each individual string of molecules further apart, since the C(3)-C(8) vector lies fairly closely along the direction of the c axis. The effect on the a and bdimensions is small, and the geometry of the $Te \cdots Te$ associations in (B) and (E) is consequently not very different, the Te...Te distances being 4.068 (7) and

4.138 (7) Å and the Te \cdots Te \cdots Te angles 73.4 (1) and 72.9 (1)°. If the C(8) methyl group were axially substituted on C(3), then disruption of the Te \cdots Te associations would be expected as a result of forcing the molecules further apart in the *b* direction, and it is noteworthy that in (*A*), where the central C atom has two methyl substituents, the closest Te \cdots Te distance is 5.05 Å and no Te polymer is found.

We thank the Science Research Council (UK) for financial support (to JCD).

References

DEWAN, J. C. & SILVER, J. (1976). Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 12, 647-649.

- DEWAN, J. C. & SILVER, J. (1977a). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, pp. 644-647.
- DEWAN, J. C. & SILVER, J. (1977b). Aust. J. Chem. 30, 487-493.
- DEWAN, J. C. & SILVER, J. (1977c). Acta Cryst. B33, 1469– 1473.
- DEWAN, J. C. & SILVER, J. (1977d). J. Organomet. Chem. 125, 125-139, and references therein.
- DONALDSON, J. D., LAUGHLIN, D., ROSS, S. D. & SILVER, J. (1973). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, pp. 1985-1988.
- DONALDSON, J. D. & SILVER, J. (1974). Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 10, 537-541.
- DONALDSON, J. D. & SILVER, J. (1976). J. Solid State Chem. 18, 117–122.
- DONALDSON, J. D., SILVER, J., HADJIMINOLIS, S. & ROSS, S. D. (1975). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, pp. 1500–1506.
- HAMILTON, W. C. & IBERS, J. A. (1968). Hydrogen Bonding in Solids, p. 16. New York: Benjamin.
- MORGAN, G. T. & DREW, H. D. K. (1925). J. Chem. Soc. 127, 531-538, and earlier works.

Acta Cryst. (1977). B33, 2674–2677

Stereochemistry and Hydrogen Bonding of Cytokinins: 6-Furfurylaminopurine (Kinetin)

By M. Soriano-Garcia* and R. Parthasarathy†

Center for Crystallographic Research, Roswell Park Memorial Institute, Buffalo, New York 14263, USA and Department of Biophysical Sciences, State University of New York at Buffalo, Amherst, New York 14226, USA

(Received 14 May 1976; accepted 12 April 1977)

Abstract. $C_{10}H_9N_5O$, triclinic, space group P1, with (at $22 \pm 3 \,^{\circ}\text{C}) a = 7.874 \,(3), b = 12.526 \,(3), c =$ 4.947 (1) Å, $\alpha = 91.15$ (4), $\beta = 99.15$ (6), $\gamma =$ 96.35 (4)°, $D_o = 1.49$, $D_c = 1.50$ g cm⁻³, Z = 2, μ (Cu $K\alpha$ = 8.9 cm⁻¹ [λ (Cu $K\alpha_1$) = 1.54051 Å]. The structure was refined to an R index of 0.06 by the leastsquares method using the block-diagonal approximation. The orientation of the N(6) substituent, distal to the imidazole ring of the adenine base, prevents the Watson-Crick sites from hydrogen bonding. The molecules in the crystal exist in the N(9)-H tautomeric form and are linked across centers of inversion by pairs of N(6)-H...N(7) and N(9)-H...N(3) hydrogen bonds forming continuous ribbons. This mode of hydrogen bonding and packing is observed also for two other cytokinins, isopentenyladenine and its 2methylthio derivative.

Introduction. Kinetin is a highly potent growth factor (cytokinin). Cytokinin is the generic name used to designate plant-growth substances that play a major role in cell division and cell differentiation (Helgeson, 1968; Skoog & Armstrong, 1970; Hall, 1973). The occurrence of cytokinin activity has been limited mainly to 6-substituted purine derivatives, but there are exceptions (Hall, 1973; see also Tovigoe, Akiyama, Hirobe, Okamoto & Isogai, 1971). Kinetin is used as a reference compound for comparing cytokinin activities of other cytokinins and for deducing structure-activity relationships of these compounds. As part of a continuing program of research in our laboratory on the stereochemistry of the cytokinin compounds, we have now determined and present here the threedimensional structure and conformation of kinetin. The structure-activity relationships of cytokinins have been discussed by us earlier (Soriano-Garcia & Parthasarathy, 1975).

Suitable crystals of kinetin (6-furfurylaminopurine) (Sigma Chemical Co.) for X-ray work were obtained by slow cooling of a hot ethanol solution. The resulting

^{*} Present address: Instituto Politecnico Nacional, Escuela Nacional de Ciencias Biologicas, Departamento de Biofísica Molecular, Apartado Postal 26-397, Mexico 4, DF.

[†] Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.